

#### Decisions taken by the Cabinet on Wednesday, 9 March 2022

Agenda	Topic	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options
Item No				

A7	A land transaction in respect	1.	That having considered	The University of	Option 1: Refurbishing the
/	of the River Park Leisure	''	the objections received as	Southampton has	existing River Park Leisure
	Centre (RPLC) site and		a result of the public	approached the council to	Centre
	associated parking area,		notice under s123(2A) of	express an interest in	Previous committee reports
	bowls club and skate park		the Local Government Act	•	considered the option of
	(less exempt appendix)		1972, approval is given to	decommissioned River Park	refurbishing the existing
	(1000 00000 pr 044 000000)		enter into an agreement	Leisure Centre, bowls club	leisure centre but it was
			to dispose of the land	and skate park hereinafter	determined that the building
			known as River Park	collectively referred to as "the	was beyond its useful life and
			Leisure Centre, Gordon	Site".	would require in excess of
			Road, Winchester, as		£10 million to bring it up to a
			identified by the red line	Report CAB3342	suitable standard. Although
			on the plan at Appendix A	summarises the objections	the old leisure centre was
			("the Site") of CAB3342,	received in response to the	much loved locally, in
			to the University of	statutory advertisement	addition to the new sport and
			Southampton on a 150	required for the disposal of	leisure park at Bar End, there
			year lease.	open space and then	are several other private
		2.		proposes entering into an	leisure providers in the city
			are agreed as set out in	agreement for the disposal of	centre and as demonstrated
			Appendix B of CAB3342,	the Site to the University of	through the Sports Facilities
			subject to an amendment	Southampton ("the	Needs Assessment (2017 to
			in order to ensure that the	Agreement for Lease"). The	2037) it is not required to

Reasons

campus, working with local

secure the best outcome for

residents and partners to

Winchester.

Decision

with the Heads of Terms

amendment in respect of

the skate park, including

purchase price with The

authority to agree the

and the above

University of

Agenda

Tonic

Item No	Торіс		Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options
			skatepark is leased back to the council. The Heads of Terms include a	objections have been considered and an agreement to amend the	meet current or future demand.
			five year 'longstop date' during which period the University of	Heads of Terms has been secured in order to ensure that the skatepark is leased	This option was rejected by Cabinet by its decision dated 24 June 2020 and is not
			Southampton will investigate and apply for planning consent, with the	back to the council so that the continuation of the skate park is secured under the	recommended.  Option 2: Potential site for
			usual public notices, for their proposed development.	council's management.  There is a five year period	housing development. Housing on the RPLC site is not a good option given that
		3.	delegated to the Corporate Head of Asset	during which the University must use reasonable endeavours to bring forward	the site is located in a flood risk area i.e. in Flood Zone 2 and 3. The NPPF states that
			Management to enter into an Agreement for Lease with the University of Southampton in keeping	their proposal for a transformational development to the existing Winchester School of Art	'Development should not be allocated or permitted if there are reasonable available sites appropriate for the
		1	I		L. L

This option is not recommended.

flooding'.

**Option 3: Demolition and** 

proposed development in

areas with a lower risk of

Alternative Ontions

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options
nom no				
		Southampton on terms that satisfy S123(2) of the Local Government Act 1972.  4. That subject to further decisions by the council as to the grant of planning permission for the University of Southampton's proposed campus scheme and as to the appropriation of those parts of the Site required for the scheme, authority be delegated to the Corporate Head of Asset Management to enter into a lease of the Site with The University of Southampton in accordance with the above-mentioned Agreement for Lease.  5. That authority be delegated to the Service Lead Legal the drafting of		restoration as public open space The old leisure centre is sited at the entrance to North Walls Recreation Ground and although it would be possible to demolish and restore the Site to public open space this is not recommended because it does not take the opportunity to make the best use of the Site when other uses would deliver better cultural and economic advantages for local people and the wider city. This option is not recommended.  Option 4: Redevelop for surface car parking. There are 192 spaces on the Site at present and the Heads of Terms envisage the retention of 77 spaces.

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options
		the Agreement for Lease and the lease, and any relevant ancillary agreements as are necessary to implement the recommendations above.		Development of the site for surface car parking would be contrary to the Council's Parking and Access Strategy, Carbon objectives and the Winchester Movement Strategy. This option is not recommended.  Option 5: Redevelop the site for a Lido. The council supports open water swimming but due to the current affordability and likely constraints on the Site (which can be found in the 2013 'River Park Leisure Centre Flood Risk Design Note'), the Site is not considered appropriate for a lido. Winchester Town Forum Members have offered to work with the public to look at outdoor swimming opportunities in and around

Agenda	Topic	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options
Item No				

				Winchester Town. This option is not recommended.
A8	King George V (KGV) Pavilion Funding	<ol> <li>That capital expenditure of up to £2,190,000 (bringing the total expenditure approved to £2.3m) be approved for the construction of the new KGV Pavilion, subject to successful funding applications set out in Table 2 Project funding.</li> <li>That the Corporate Head of Economy &amp; Community submit an application for grant funding to the District Wide Community Infrastructure Levy for the sum of £450,000.</li> <li>That £450,000 of District Wide CIL funding be agreed, subject to the due</li> </ol>	The new pavilion at KGV has been considered for some years and has now reached an important milestone in seeing the scheme come to fruition. The new pavilion, adjacent to the new Winchester Sport and Leisure Park and the University of Winchester Sport Ground, will create a hub of high-quality, sports and community facilities. This replaces out-dated, underused and inaccessible pavilions with a larger, accessible, modern facility designed with sustainability and carbon saving measures that supports the development of grass roots football, especially women's,	Do not replace the existing pavilions: This was rejected as both facilities are considered to be beyond their useful life, do not provide appropriate disabled access and have very poor thermal efficiency. Cost of continued repair and maintenance does not represent value for money and also impacts on the council's ability generate income from bookings for the playing pitches.  Replace both pavilions like for like: this was rejected as being more costly than replacement with a single larger facility, with efficiencies also gained in

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options
_	Topic	diligence assessment and support of the application in April by the council's CIL Informal Panel.  4. That subject to the securing of all required funding, the Corporate Head of Asset Management be authorised to invite and evaluate tenders and to award a design and build contract to the preferred bidder in accordance with the Public Contract	girls' and youth football. This is enhanced by the inclusion of a club room that can be used independently for community and social events and activities.  Report CAB3341 sets out the sport and community benefits and outcomes, associated design requirements and costs for the new development. This forms the final business case for the development of the KGV Pavilion and seeks approval	on-going management and maintenance.  Replace both pavilions with a smaller new facility: this was rejected as a smaller facility would not provide the accessible range of changing rooms, showers and toilets etc. to meet football association standards to support the league football clubs or cricket clubs' use. The addition of a club room enables a more ambitious business plan to increase
		Regulations 2015 and Councils Contract Procedure Rules for the construction of the KGV Pavilion.	for budget expenditure to enable officers to move to the next stage of inviting tenders for the construction works.	income generation and open up the pavilion for use by a wider range of people.

Agenda	Topic	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options
Item No				

A9	WCC Landscape Character Assessment Supplementary Planning Document	<ol> <li>That the public consultation responses as set out in Appendix A of report CAB3337 be noted.</li> <li>That the proposed Landscape Character Assessment Supplementary Planning Document be adopted, as set out within Appendix B of CAB3337, subject to necessary minor amendments.</li> <li>That authority be delegated to Strategic Director Place, in consultation with Cabinet Member Built Environment, to make final minor amendments to the Supplementary Planning Document prior to adoption.</li> </ol>	Report CAB3337 recommends the adoption of the updated Landscape Character Assessment as a Supplementary Planning Document. Landscape Character Assessments are produced by local authorities to identify and explain the unique combination of elements and features that make landscapes distinctive by mapping and describing character types and areas. They also show how the landscape is perceived, experienced, and valued by people. For these documents to carry as much weight as possible in the planning decision-making process they need to be adopted by the City Council as 'Supplementary Planning Documents' (SPD).	The option of updating the existing Landscape Character Assessment, but not affording it the additional planning weight that a SPD would provide, was an option considered. This was rejected as LCAs are vital in informing planning decisions and more weight can be given to a new document which has been through the SPD process before being formally adopted by the council.

Agenda	Topic	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options
Item No				

A10	Parking charges review	2.	That changes to the parking tariff in Winchester Town (in addition to the previously agreed changes to City Centre Parking outlined in the 2022/23 Fees and Charges Paper (CAB3326)) as set out in paragraph 12.4 and appendix 1 of report CAB3330, with the exception of the river park leisure centre (RPLC) car park which should be £15.50 for over 4 hours Monday to Friday, be advertised and implemented in October 2022.  That a consultation is undertaken in the summer (for a 6 week period and will report back to Cabinet in the	The Council, as part of the Air Quality Management Area action plan, has committed to consider a differential parking charge tariff based on vehicle emissions. This report recommends that a consultation is undertaken on how that might be done and that a further report be brought back to Cabinet in due course setting out the results of the consultation and next steps.  In addition to the previously agreed changes to City Centre Parking outlined in the 2022/23 Fees and Charges Paper (CAB3326), this proposal:  • Seeks approval to consult on an Air Quality Surcharge in the Winchester air quality management area.	The option of not increasing any parking charges was considered but it was felt that as the Council has an agreed air quality strategy, which was fully consulted upon, that some changes are required in order to deliver objectives and actions in that strategy. This approach also supports meeting wider objectives in relation the Winchester Movement Strategy and carbon reduction objectives. Increasing central charges further was also considered but felt that this would not to be the right time due to businesses still recovering from the impacts of Covid.

Agenda Item No	Topic		Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options
		3.	autumn) for a scheme to charge vehicles based on vehicle emissions.  That the Head of Programme and Head of Legal Services be authorised to enter into a new parking management agreement with New Alresford Town Council for Arlebury Park car park, New Alresford.	<ul> <li>Proposes extra measures to reduce car movements in the central air quality zone and improve air quality by removing the free half hour ticket for City Centre On Street Parking and introducing a free hour ticket in Park &amp; Walk Car Parks.</li> <li>Recommends increasing central zone Season Tickets to ensure they remain consistent with parking day rates and an improved Season Ticket offer for park and ride.</li> </ul>	
A11	Parking and access plan improvement programme	1.	That an additional budget of £70,000 (total £100,000) be approved for the upgrade of pay machines in order to roll out contactless payments and to support the potential introduction of a differential charging scheme based on vehicle emissions across the	The purpose of report CAB3329 is to consider and agree the proposed Parking and Access Improvement Programme for 2022/23 and an indicative programme for 2023/24.  The programme consists of both capital and revenue expenditure and is part of the	If we do not invest in decarbonisation and air quality initiatives we will fail to deliver core council priorities in both these areas  Not investing in Council car parks and their infrastructure may lead to financial loss if car parks are not able to be used or are unattractive to

Agenda Item No	Topic		Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options
			central Air Quality Management Area.	Council's Asset Management Plan and delivery of the Parking and Access Strategy	drivers. Losses may also result if accidents occur which generate successful
		2.	That expenditure of £590,000 for the car park	across the whole district.	claims against the Council. There is also a risk of
			major works programme 2022/23 be approved, as outlined in appendix A of CAB3329.	The City of Winchester Movement Strategy recognises the importance of parking as a means to help manage traffic movements	reputational damage to the Council, and an adverse impact on the city and market towns' economies, through lack of good quality parking
		3.	That it be noted that the indicative programme for 2023/24 is yet to be fully defined subject to condition surveys and further evaluation work being completed.	through the city and, as part of this objective, the need for additional park and ride provision has been identified. Parking management is also a tool to support wider traffic management which enables us to address the Climate	provision which help to underpin these locations in terms of meeting business and visitor needs.
		4.	That a change in relation to The Dean Car Park at Alresford from 47 to 42 spaces and expenditure of £1,005,000 be approved (as outlined in paragraph 13.2 of the report).	Emergency and improve air quality.  The report also covers progress made in 2021/22 programme for maintaining and improving parking assets and sets out additional	

Agenda	Topic	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options
Agenda Item No	Topic	5. That authority be delegated to the Head of Programme in consultation with the Corporate Head of Asset Management and Cabinet Member for Economic Recovery, to procure and to make minor adjustments to the programme in order to meet maintenance and operational needs of the car park service throughout the year, as required.	proposals for maintenance and enhancements of car parks for 2022/23 and beyond including proposals for digital transformation and customer service improvements in relation to parking services.  An update is provided within this report on works still to be delivered or that are no longer required as the business needs have changed. A key part of this has been the impact of, and the response to, COVID 19	Alternative Options
		required.	which has had a significant effect on traffic levels, parking patterns, and driver behaviour. It has also had a direct impact on progress on a number of projects.  In addition, the County	
			Council has indicated that	

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options
			subject to a formal decision in March 2022 that it intends to take back the traffic management and the onstreet parking agency agreements. The proposals in this report have taken this into account, in terms of priorities and approach, and to reflect the likely	
			arrangements once the agencies have been transferred back to the County Council.	
A12	Risk Management Policy 2022/23	That the Risk Management Policy for 2022/23, its Risk Appetite Statement for 2022/23 and the appended Corporate Risk Register be approved.	Report CAB3338 presents the annual review of the council's Risk Management Policy and Risk Appetite 2022/23. These define the council's arrangements for identifying and managing risks and its integration with corporate governance and performance management.	Changing the risk appetite to reflect either a decreased or increased comfort with accepting different levels of risk, and determining which levels of risk are unacceptable.

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options
			There have been updates and additions to the key risks that appear on the Corporate Risk Register over the course of 2021/22, resulting from the quarterly reviews by ELB and Audit and Governance Committee.	
			The report seeks consideration and approval of the reviewed Risk Management Policy and Risk Appetite Statement for 2022/23	